



Education

Osgoode Hall Law School (2017) JD
University of St. Michael's College,
Toronto (2014) PhD
Lee University, Tennessee (2002) BA

Bar Admissions

Ontario (2018)

Practice Areas

Appeals
Commercial Litigation
Defamation and Media
Insolvency and Restructuring

Contact

T 416-865-3018
dknoke@litigate.com

Derek Knoke

DEREK KNOKE

is an associate at Lenczner Slaght.

Derek has a broad civil litigation practice, with a particular focus on appeals, commercial litigation and defamation.

He also regularly defends physicians in medical malpractice and professional liability matters.

Derek is a graduate of Osgoode Hall Law School, where he won several course prizes. Prior to joining Lenczner Slaght, Derek served as a judicial law clerk for judges of the Court of Appeal for Ontario.

SELECT CASES

- **Lang-Newlands v Newlands** – Counsel to the moving party, Barbara Lang-Newlands, in lifting a stay pending appeal.
- **The Foundation Assisting Canadian Talent on Recordings v The Bank of Nova Scotia** – Counsel to Scotiabank in an application before the Commercial List arising from a multi-million dollar cyber-fraud that involves complex cyber-security evidence and raises significant and potentially far-reaching legal issues regarding the scope of customers' and financial institutions' obligations to prevent cyber-fraud.
- **Confidential Arbitration** – Counsel to minority shareholders advancing an oppression remedy claim against the corporation, its directors and the controlling shareholder in a complex two-week arbitration.
- **Sheridan v Sheridan et al** – Counsel to the respondents in a motion to determine whether the court or an arbitrator has jurisdiction over two distinct disputes.
- **Township of Georgian Bay v OBD Developments Inc** – Counsel for the responding party, OBD Developments Inc, in successfully responding to a motion for leave to appeal a decision from the Ontario Land Tribunal.
- **Solmar Inc v Hall** – Counsel to the plaintiffs/respondents, Benny Marotta and Solmar Development Corp., in a defamation action. Successfully resisted an anti-SLAPP motion, which sought to dismiss the claim.
- **AB v MCI Medical Clinics Inc** – Counsel to the respondent doctor in successfully obtaining a summary dismissal of an application brought by a former patient alleging discrimination with respect to medical services because of disability.
- **Castillo v Xela Enterprises Ltd** – Counsel to the court-appointed receiver in contempt proceedings on the Commercial List. Successfully obtained a finding of civil contempt and a full indemnity costs award – both of which were upheld on appeal.
- **Xavier Tu v Ireland Byrne et al** – Counsel for the Defendants in a defamation action.
- **Wasylyk v Simcoe (County)** – Successful counsel to the plaintiff in

upholding a trial judgment imposing liability on the Corporation of the County of Simcoe for failing to keep a roadway in a reasonable state of repair. The Court of Appeal for Ontario agreed that the plaintiff/respondent was not contributorily negligent and affirmed judgment in the amount of \$16,000,000.

- **Moazzani v Ebrahimi** – Successful counsel to a defendant/moving party in a motion to strike a statement of claim, which sought \$2,000,000 in damages for defamation. Despite being an opposed motion in-writing, the Superior Court of Justice granted the motion and awarded costs.
- **VIA Rail Canada Inc v Canadian National Railway Company** – Counsel to Canadian National Railway Company in an application brought by VIA before the Canada Transportation Agency to impose a new contract on CN.
- **Canadian Thermo Windows v Seangio** – Counsel to the moving defendants in a successful Anti-SLAPP motion, which determined when a motion is “made”.
- **Zimmerman v McNaull** – Counsel to Respondent Physicians in a successful interlocutory motion, seeking a declaration that the Plaintiffs had impliedly waived privilege over information upon which they relied for their motion to add the Respondent Physicians to the Plaintiffs’ action after the presumptive expiry of the limitation period.
- **12178711 Canada Inc v Wilks Brothers, LLC** – Counsel to G2S2 Capital Inc., a significant creditor, in connection with a contested CBCA restructuring of Calfrac Well Services Ltd.
- **In the Matter of the Receivership of Xela Enterprises Ltd** – Counsel to the court-appointed receiver in an application to recover a judgment debt from Xela Enterprises Ltd., the parent company to several subsidiaries located primarily in Central America and the Caribbean.
- **Orefinders Resources Inc. v Mistango River Resources Inc.** – Counsel to the successful minority dissident corporate shareholder in various court applications involving a contested proxy battle.
- **Reddy v 1945086 Ontario Inc** – Counsel to the Vendors of a multi-tower condominium project in a claim alleging breach of contract.
- **CTT Pharmaceutical Holdings, Inc v Rapid Dose Therapeutics Inc** – Counsel to the successful defendant on an appeal from a motion for summary judgment, dismissing a claim of breach of confidential information. The appeal...
- **Ravago Americas LLC v Vinmar International Ltd** – Counsel to the defendant employer in an action and injunction concerning allegations of the misuse of confidential information by a former employee.

SELECT PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

- **2024 Snapshot: Through the Lens of Lenczner Slaght** – Lenczner Slaght launches our *2024 Snapshot*, which highlights the most significant developments, decisions, and trends in litigation from the past year across 20 areas of expertise. Reflect on 2024 and look ahead to 2025 through the lens of our expert litigators.
- **Keeping Up With Health Law** – Derek Knoke was invited to share his expertise at the OBA’s call in series titled *Keeping up with Health Law*. Derek discussed the recent decision in *Zimmerman v McNaull*.

BLOG POSTS

- **Ontario Court of Appeal Rules on Defamation and Online Reviews** – In *Benchwood Builders Inc v Prescott*, the Court of Appeal for Ontario provided further guidance on the interpretation of section 137.1 of the *Courts of Justice Act*, commonly known as anti-SLAPP legislation. This legislation provides a quick screening mechanism to dismiss lawsuits that unduly limit expressions related to a matter of public interest – often referred to as Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation (SLAPP).
- **Contempt on the Commercial List** – Court orders must be obeyed. If they are not, the consequences can be severe.
- **When Must an Anti-SLAPP Motion Be Heard?** – Ontario's Anti-SLAPP legislation (ss 137.1 to 137.5 of the *Courts of Justice Act*) provides a judicial screening device. It is designed to swiftly eliminate claims that unduly limit freedom of expression on matters of public interest. Since coming into force, courts have considered, at length, the substantive issues. To date, little guidance has been provided on the procedural issues.
- **LCO recommendations point to meaningful change in class actions** – The July 17, 2019 final report of the Law Commission of Ontario into class actions has the potential to impact significantly on the prosecution and defence of class actions in Ontario.
- **Ontario Court of Appeal holds that federal legislation imposing minimum standards to reduce carbon emissions is constitutional** – In 2018, Parliament passed the *Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act* (the “Act”). The Act applies in provinces and territories that have not implemented sufficiently stringent carbon pricing mechanisms regarding greenhouse gas (“GHG”). Part 1 of the Act imposes a regulatory charge on carbon-based fuels; it applies, subject to several rules and exceptions, to fuels produced, delivered, used, distributed, or imported (“**Fuel Charge**”). Part 2 of the Act establishes a regulatory trading system applicable to large industrial GHG emitters. A credit is given to those who operate within their emissions’ limit. A charge is imposed on those who exceed it (“**Excess Emissions Charge**”).

SELECT NEWS ARTICLES

- **Toronto Star Article** – William C. McDowell and Derek Knoke were mentioned in a Toronto Star article, which looks into a defamation lawsuit concerning a dance group and their former leader and employer.
- **Ontario couple 'bullied' by window company over bad reviews awarded \$166,000** – Lawrence E. Thacker and Derek Knoke were interviewed by CTV News following the decision in *Canadian Thermo Windows v Seangio* in favour of our clients.
- **Lenczner Slaght Welcomes Five New Associates** – Canada's leading litigation firm continues to add to its collective experience and knowledge with the addition of five talented associates.

Lenczner Slaght is delighted to welcome Derek Knoke, Graham Henry, Jonathan D. Langley, Sean Lewis, and Zachary Rosen to the firm as our new associates.

PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES

- The Advocates' Society
- Canadian Bar Association

- Ontario Bar Association
Member-at-Large of the Entertainment and Media Section (2023-2024)