

Arbitration

Clients sometimes choose arbitration for cases involving complex or confidential matters that can be resolved more efficiently, expeditiously and predictably behind closed doors. In other cases, clients turn to arbitration for cross-border disputes or cases involving multiple jurisdictions, where the legal issues are typically complex and often involve competing jurisdictions and conflicting substantive law. In either case, the unrivalled trial experience that makes Lenczner Slaght a litigation leader serves our clients equally well in arbitration.

We have acted in both domestic and international arbitrations across a wide range of business sectors, including infrastructure, mining, oil and gas, technology and financial services. We've represented Canadian and international clients in disputes governed by all of the widely recognized rules of arbitration, including:

- International Chamber of Commerce (ICC)
- London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA)
- United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL)
- American Arbitration Association (AAA)
- International Institute for Conflict Prevention and Resolution (CPR Institute)

When a complicated, high-stakes dispute must be tried and brought to a timely resolution, our lawyers guide you through the arbitration process using the strengths that define Lenczner Slaght as Canada's leading litigation practice: a proven talent for effective advocacy backed by a wealth of experience in successfully taking cases to trial.

RECOGNITION

- Lexology Index: Canada (2013-2025)
Arbitration, Commercial Litigation
- Canadian Legal Lexpert® Directory (2023-2025)
Commercial Arbitration, Litigation - Corporate Commercial, Litigation - Securities
- Lexology Index: Canada (2020-2025)
Arbitration, Commercial Litigation
- Canadian Legal Lexpert® Directory (2020-2025)
Commercial Arbitration, Construction Law, Litigation - Corporate Commercial, Medical Negligence, Professional Liability

- **Chambers Canada (2020-2025)**
Dispute Resolution: Arbitration (Nationwide – Canada)
- **Benchmark Canada (2018-2025)**
Litigation Star – Arbitration, Bankruptcy, Commercial
- **Chambers Canada (2016-2025)**
Dispute Resolution: Most in Demand Arbitrators (Nationwide – Canada)
- **Chambers Canada (2016-2021)**
Litigation: General Commercial (Ontario) (2020); Dispute Resolution: Most in Demand Arbitrators (Nationwide – Canada)
- **Benchmark Canada (2012-2025)**
Litigation Star – Arbitration, Class Action, Commercial, Insolvency, Securities, Tax
- **Benchmark Canada (2012-2025)**
Litigation Star – Arbitration, Class Action, Commercial, Intellectual Property, Professional Liability
- **Benchmark Canada (2014-2015)**
Local Litigation Star - Arbitration, General Commercial, Intellectual Property
- **Best Lawyers in Canada (2006-2020)**
Bet-the-Company Litigation, Class Action Litigation, Corporate & Commercial Litigation, Director & Officer Liability, International Arbitration, Legal Malpractice, Securities
- **Best Lawyers in Canada (2017-2025)**
Administrative & Public Law, Alternative Dispute Resolution, Appellate Practice, Corporate & Commercial Litigation, Defamation & Media, Intellectual Property, International Arbitration
- **Canadian Legal Lexpert® Directory (2012-2019)**
Class Actions (2018), Commercial Arbitration, Litigation - Corporate Commercial, Litigation - Directors' & Officers' Liability, Litigation - Securities, Professional Liability
- **Canadian Legal Lexpert® Directory (2012-2020)**
Class Actions, Commercial Arbitration, Litigation - Corporate Commercial, Litigation - Directors' & Officers' Liability, Litigation - Product Liability, Litigation - Public Law, Litigation - Securities, Medical Negligence (2019), Professional Liability
- **Canadian Legal Lexpert® Directory (2012-2025)**
Class Actions, Commercial Arbitration, Litigation - Corporate Commercial, Litigation - Directors' & Officers' Liability, Litigation - Regulatory & Public Law, Litigation - Securities, Medical Negligence, Personal Injury, Professional Liability, Litigation - Public Law (2023)
- **Canadian Legal Lexpert® Directory (2012-2025)**
Class Actions, Commercial Arbitration, Insolvency & Financial Restructuring, Litigation - Corporate Commercial, Litigation - Directors' & Officers' Liability, Litigation - Securities, Medical Negligence, Professional Liability
- **Canadian Legal Lexpert® Directory (2014-2025)**
Commercial Arbitration, Litigation - Corporate Commercial, Litigation - Defamation & Media, Litigation - Intellectual Property (2024), Litigation - Regulatory & Public Law, Professional Liability, Litigation - Public Law (2023)
- **Chambers Global (2017-2025)**
Dispute Resolution: Most In Demand Arbitrators (Canada); Dispute Resolution: Arbitration (Canada) (2017)
- **Lexpert Guide to the Leading 500 Cross-Border Lawyers in Canada (2015-2025)**
Arbitration; Corporate Commercial Litigation

- **Lexpert Guide to the Leading US/Canada Cross-border Litigation Lawyers in Canada (2013-2018)**
Commercial Arbitration, Class Actions, Corporate Commercial Litigation
- **Lexpert Guide to the Leading US/Canada Cross-border Litigation Lawyers in Canada (2014-2017)**
Commercial Arbitration, Corporate Commercial Litigation, Defamation & Media Litigation
- **Lexpert® Guide to the Leading US/Canada Cross-border Litigation Lawyers in Canada (2014)**
International Commercial Arbitration, Corporate Commercial, Defamation & Media

SELECT CASES

- **Sheridan v Sheridan et al** – Counsel to the respondents in a motion to determine whether the court or an arbitrator has jurisdiction over two distinct disputes.
- **Crosslinx Transit Solutions v Ontario Infrastructure** – Counsel to Crosslinx against Infrastructure Ontario and Metrolinx in an application pertaining to the Eglinton Crosstown LRT. The application sought declaratory relief with respect to the interpretation of the Project Agreement between the parties.
- **Commercial Arbitration** – Counsel to a property development company in a dispute regarding the sale of a partnership interest.
- **K v Dr. AE** – Successful response, on behalf of a physician, in a patient's appeal to the Ontario Superior Court regarding a Consent and Capacity Board determination of incapacity and renewal of a Community Treatment Order.
- **Enbridge Gas Inc v Unifor** – Counsel to Enbridge Gas Inc., the successful party in a termination arbitration. (*Prior to joining Lenczner Slaght*)
- **Confidential Arbitration** – Participated in representation of a general contractor in an arbitration relating to a major Ontario transit project.
- **Confidential Arbitration** – Counsel to the successful claimant in an arbitration arising out of a shareholder dispute.
- **Enbridge Gas Inc v Unifor** – Counsel to Enbridge Gas Inc. in a termination arbitration. (*Prior to joining Lenczner Slaght*)
- **Bakaris v Southern Sun Pharma Inc et al** – Counsel to the respondent corporation and director in a breach of contract application related to the acquisition of a license to grow and sell cannabis in Zimbabwe. Successfully stayed the matter in favour of arbitration.
- **Confidential Commercial Arbitration** – Counsel to the respondents in a partnership dispute concerning multiple high-value residential real-estate holdings in downtown Toronto.
- **Confidential Arbitration** – Counsel to a general contractor in an arbitration relating to the construction of a major Canadian transmission line.
- **Presley v Van Dusen** – Counsel to the homeowners in a successful appeal to determine a question of law that the limitation period did not expire prior to the commencement of the action based on the appropriateness requirement of the discoverability provisions in the *Limitations Act, 2002*.
- **Construction Dispute** – Counsel to a general contractor in a dispute with a design subcontractor on a very large transit project regarding

design deficiencies and payment for design changes that have arisen over the course of construction.

- **Commercial Arbitration** – Counsel to a landlord in an arbitration to determine fair market rent over a renewal term.
- **Commercial Arbitration** – Counsel in an arbitration concerning the division of profits from a successful architectural firm.
- **Confidential Commercial Arbitration** – Counsel to the successful claimant in an arbitration concerning a Project Management Agreement governing the development of a large condominium complex in midtown Toronto.
- **The Board of Regents of Victoria University v GE Canada Real Estate Equity** – Counsel to GE Canada Real Estate Equity in an appeal to the Superior Court of Justice and to the Court of Appeal from an arbitration award over the determination of ground lease rent for a prominent Bloor Street property.
- **Partnership Arbitration** – Counsel to the largely successful law firm respondent at an arbitration involving a partnership dispute.
- **Commercial Arbitration** – Counsel to a mining company in an arbitration regarding liability and indemnification for historical environmental contamination.
- **Commercial Arbitration** – Counsel to a mining company in a commercial arbitration relating to a joint venture.
- **Commercial Arbitration** – Counsel to the successful respondent at an arbitration involving a commercial real estate dispute.
- **Sky Solar (Canada) Ltd v Marnoch Electrical Services Inc** – Counsel to the claimant in an appeal of an arbitration decision relating to installation of electrical transformers.
- **International Commercial Arbitration** – Counsel to a UK-based energy company in a series of international commercial arbitrations relating to the sale of a nuclear power generation facility.
- **International Commercial Arbitration** – Counsel to a patent licensing company in an international commercial arbitration relating to a patent license agreement and related US multi-jurisdictional patent litigation.
- **Construction Arbitration** – Counsel to a provincial government agency in an arbitration relating to a province-wide construction project.
- **Alfred Wegener Institute v ALCI Aviation Ltd** – Counsel to Alfred Wegener Institute in a successful defence of an appeal before the Court of Appeal after obtaining an Order recognizing and enforcing an international commercial arbitral award.
- **International Commercial Arbitration** – Counsel to a German-based nuclear energy company in an international commercial arbitration relating to a long-term uranium supply agreement.
- **Construction Arbitration** – Counsel to a project manager in a series of disputes involving the design and construction of a mining project, including processing and power generation facilities. These disputes involved complicated engineering, project management and construction issues.
- **International Commercial Arbitration** – Counsel to a software distributor in an international commercial arbitration relating to a breach of a distribution agreement.
- **International Commercial Arbitration** – Counsel to the respondent

technology company in an international commercial arbitration relating to alleged non-payment of invoices and a priorities dispute in a multi-jurisdictional insolvency.

- **Commercial Arbitration** – Counsel in a successful arbitration to enforce an oral contract between partners of a closely held corporation.
- **407 ETR Concession Co v Ontario** – Counsel to the successful 407 ETR in an arbitration and appeal concerning the entitlement of the 407 ETR to raise tolls without the province's approval.
- **Partnership Arbitration** – Counsel to the largely successful law firm respondent at an arbitration involving a partnership dispute.
- **Confidential Arbitration** – Counsel to the general contractor in a complex arbitration involving a large public private partnership project. *(Prior to joining Lenczner Slaght)*
- **International Arbitration** – Counsel to Canadian and Chinese equipment manufacturer for South American mining project in a claim for breach of contract relating to early cancellation of the contract and the mining project by an international mining firm. *(Prior to joining Lenczner Slaght.)*
- **Appraisal Arbitration** – Counsel to a public utility for a complex real estate appraisal arbitration. *(Prior to joining Lenczner Slaght.)*
- **Commercial Arbitration** – Counsel to a successful employer respondent in a breach of contract dispute.

SELECT PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

- **2024 Snapshot: Through the Lens of Lenczner Slaght** – Lenczner Slaght launches our *2024 Snapshot*, which highlights the most significant developments, decisions, and trends in litigation from the past year across 20 areas of expertise. Reflect on 2024 and look ahead to 2025 through the lens of our expert litigators.
- **2023 Snapshot: Through the Lens of Lenczner Slaght** – Lenczner Slaght launches our *2023 Snapshot*, a look at the most significant developments, decisions, business takeaways, and trends in litigation from the last year, across 15 practice areas. Revisit 2023 and look ahead to 2024 through the lens of our expert litigators.
- **Effective Advocacy for the Virtual Hearing** – Brendan F. Morrison and Samantha Hale co-authored an article on their top tips for effective, and efficient, advocacy in the virtual world. The article was published by the ADR Institute of Canada Inc., in its ADR Perspectives newsletter.
- **Arbitration Updates for Civil Litigators 2021** – Paul-Erik Veel was invited to speak at the LSO's program titled "Arbitration Updates for Civil Litigators 2021". Paul-Erik provided his expert insights on the panel *Analysis of the Case Law: The Lay of the Land Post Uber & Vavilov*.
- **Commercial Litigation and Arbitration Review** – Scott Rollwagen, Sana Halwani, and Paul-Erik Veel's article "*Do courts have jurisdiction to order virtual hearings? Absolutely!*" was published in the August 2020 volume of the Commercial Litigation and Arbitration Review.
- **Supreme Court of Canada Deals Blow to Uber, Declares Arbitration Clauses Invalid as a Result of 'Surge Pricing'** – Published in the Kluwer Arbitration Blog, Chris Kinnear Hunter authors an article on the legacy of the Supreme Court of Canada's highly anticipated decision in *Uber Technologies Inc v Heller*. Chris discusses how the decision has significant implications for international businesses by placing significant

limits on the application of arbitration clauses.

- **ADR Advocacy: Advanced Techniques** – Ian Binnie was invited to The Advocates' Society's *ADR Advocacy: Advanced Techniques* program. The program featured an exclusive fireside chat with Ian Binnie himself.
- **Arbitration: An Update for Litigators** – Paul-Erik Veel spoke at the Law Society of Ontario's program Arbitration: An Update for Litigators. His panel discussed the *Analysis of the Case Law Relating to Interpretation and Enforcement of Arbitration Agreements*.
- **Reviewing Commercial Arbitration** – Tom Curry spoke at OsgoodePD's 13th Annual National Forum: Administrative Law & Practice. He spoke on the topic of "Reviewing Commercial Arbitration" where he explored how reasonableness review operates in the arbitration context.
- **Arbitration appeals becoming more of a gamble** – Mark Venezianos article appeared in the June 19, 2015 issue of the Lawyers Weekly published by Lexis Nexis Canada Inc. The article discussed a recent British Columbia Court of Appeal decision Boxer Capital Corporation v. JEL Investments Ltd., which deals with grounds for reviewing arbitral awards.
- **How ADR and court actions can actually work together** – Lawrence Thackers article appears in the April 3, 2015 issue of the Lawyers Weekly published by Lexis Nexis Canada Inc. This article describes the use of Alternative Dispute Resolution in combination with, or supplementary to court proceedings.
- **One chance to choose arbitrator** – Rebecca Jones article was published in the February 13, 2015 issue of the Lawyers Weekly published by LexisNexis Canada Inc.
- **Bound by the legal chain of events** – Eli Lederman's article appeared in the June 20, 2014 issue of the Lawyers Weekly.
- **Arbitration (International) Recent Developments of Importance** – Thacker, L. and Laxer, J. "Arbitration (International) Recent Developments of Importance". The 2012 Lexpert®/American Lawyer Guide to the Leading 500 Lawyers in Canada.

BLOG POSTS

- **Aroma of Controversy: Stirring Up Arbitrator Disclosure Duties** – The Ontario Court of Appeal's decision in *Aroma Franchise Company Inc v Aroma Espresso Bar Canada Inc* has reshaped the disclosure obligations of arbitrators, particularly in cases involving multiple appointments of an arbitrator by the same party or counsel. In reinstating an arbitral award that had been set aside, the Court clarified that the duty to disclose potential conflicts of interest under Article 12 of the *Model Law* is objective. It considers whether relevant circumstances would likely give rise to justifiable doubts about impartiality from the standpoint of a fair-minded and informed observer rather than through the eyes of the parties. At the same time, the Court's substantive decision, in some ways, goes against the trend in international arbitrations, and it will be important for parties considering international arbitration to assess potentially differing interpretations of the *Model Law* in any given jurisdiction.

The Underlying Decision

The arbitration at issue arose between the franchisor (the “Applicants”) and franchisee (the “Respondents”) who each alleged against the other breaches of the applicable Master Franchise Agreement (“MFA”). The MFA’s arbitration clause specified that the “arbitrator must be either a retired judge, or a lawyer experienced in the practice of franchise law, who has *no prior social, business or professional relationship with either party.*”

In the correspondence between counsel when selecting arbitrators, both sides raised concerns about the relationships between arbitral candidates and counsel. One candidate was rejected because they were unilaterally contacted by counsel for the Respondents, and another was similarly rejected due to past engagements with that same counsel. After confirming there were no prior engagements, an Arbitrator was appointed.

Approximately 17 months into the arbitration, it came to light that the Arbitrator had been retained as the sole arbitrator in an unrelated arbitration by counsel for the Respondents, a fact that had not been disclosed to the Applicants.

Counsel for the Applicants learned of the second appointment upon receiving an email from the Arbitrator with regard to the final award. A lawyer at the Respondents’ law firm, who had not been involved in the arbitration, was copied on that email. After an inquiry by counsel for the Applicants, the Arbitrator disclosed that the Respondents’ firm had engaged him as the sole arbitrator in another unrelated matter.

Following the rendering of the final award, the Applicants brought an application before the Ontario Superior Court of Justice to set aside the arbitral award, including on the basis of a reasonable apprehension of bias.

Justice Steele invoked two provisions of the *Model Law* in her decision: Article 34(2)(a)(iv), which permits a court to annul an award where “the composition of the tribunal...was not in accordance with the agreement of the parties,” and Article 18, which mandates that “all parties shall be treated with equality and each party shall be given a full opportunity of presenting his case.” Justice Steele concluded that a breach of Article 18 constituted an adequate basis for the annulment of an award.

Justice Steele set aside the award, holding that the Arbitrator’s failure to disclose that he had been appointed by counsel for one of the parties to serve as sole arbitrator on another matter gave rise to a reasonable apprehension of bias in all of the circumstances.

The ONCA Decision

The Court of Appeal for Ontario allowed the appeal and held that the Arbitrator’s failure to disclose his involvement in the second arbitration did not create a reasonable apprehension of bias. The Court emphasized that the test for an arbitrator’s duty to disclose under Article 12(1) of the *Model Law* is objective, focusing on whether a fair-minded observer would doubt impartiality. The application judge misapplied the objective test by referencing and relying on the subjective test in the IBA Guidelines, which were not a legal regime governing the arbitration. As such, the application judge’s reliance on unshared correspondence between the parties, which outlined their subjective expectations about disclosure, constituted a reviewable error of law.

The Court further instructed that a finding that an arbitrator breached the legal duty to disclose is a relevant, but not determinative, factor in deciding whether a reasonable apprehension of bias has been shown. Under that objective test, an arbitrator’s duty to disclose is based on the

facts they are reasonably aware of, not the subjective expectations of parties that had not been communicated to them. The parties' failure to inform the Arbitrator about their subjective expectations could not alter the legal disclosure requirements under the *Model Law*.

Ontario Diverges from the International Trend in Disclosure of Potential Conflicts

The Court of Appeal for Ontario has previously emphasized that the *Model Law* is to be interpreted and applied in a manner that ensures international uniformity, thereby making decisions from other jurisdictions highly persuasive in Ontario.

Despite this, in *Aroma*, the Court was prepared to depart from decisions in other major arbitral jurisdictions, where repeated appointments or instructions by a party or firm, coupled with an arbitrator's failure to disclose these connections, have led Courts to set aside arbitral awards.

In England, the Commercial Court considered an arbitrator's duty of disclosure and apparent bias in *Aiteo Eastern E & P Company Ltd v Shell Western Supply and Trading Ltd & Ors*. Like the test under the *Model Law*, the test for apparent bias in the United Kingdom considers whether the fair-minded and informed observer, having considered the facts, would consider that there was a real possibility that the tribunal was biased. The Commercial Court in *Aiteo Eastern* found apparent bias on the part of one of the arbitrators due to undisclosed professional engagements with counsel for the Defendants. As a result, the Court remitted the affected arbitral award for reconsideration.

Similarly, the Court of Appeal of Paris set aside an arbitral award due to the existence of facts likely to cause, in the minds of the parties, a reasonable doubt as to the presiding arbitrator's independence. The presiding arbitrator's law firm had ongoing business relations with Vivendi, a significant shareholder in Telecom Italia, which was a party to the arbitration. These ties were not disclosed during the arbitration. The Court found that the connections between Vivendi, a third party interested in the arbitration's outcome, and the presiding arbitrator's law firm did not undermine the arbitrator's integrity. However, these ties created an objective conflict of interest that could reasonably raise doubts about the arbitrator's independence in the minds of the parties. The Court thus set aside the award.

In another recent case, France's Court of Cassation—the apex court in the French judiciary for civil cases—upheld the annulment of an arbitral award rendered by a three-arbitrator tribunal based on doubts as to the impartiality of the presiding arbitrator. The annulment followed the arbitrator's failure to disclose personal ties with the lead counsel of one of the parties, revealed through a eulogy given by the presiding arbitrator at the lead counsel's funeral. The arbitrator's comments about their close, friendly relationship and the statement by the presiding arbitrator that he consulted that counsel “*before making any important decision*” raised doubts about his independence, leading the Court to rule that the relationship should have been disclosed.

Disclosure Moving Forward

Anecdotally, Justice Steele's decision in *Aroma* has had a material impact on the conduct of arbitrators in Canada. Those aware of the decision had begun to proactively disclose parallel arbitrations involving counsel appearing before them. It remains to be seen whether the Court of Appeal's decision will reverse this trend. Practitioners, including ourselves, are keen to observe whether other Canadian courts will align with Ontario's highest court on similar issues. In the interim, parties concerned with having the level of disclosure reflected in Justice Steele's decision will do well to negotiate for that right in any arbitration.

agreement or terms of appointment.

- **Supreme Court Sides with Drivers in Uber Case; Deals Blow to Arbitration Clauses** – The Supreme Court of Canada has released its highly anticipated decision in *Uber Technologies Inc v Heller*.
- **Once more unto the breach: the Supreme Court of Canada weighs in again on arbitration clauses and class actions** – The question of whether and when arbitration clauses will preclude a class proceeding is seemingly continually litigated. In some circumstances—such as in the consumer protection context—legislatures have clarified that certain claims cannot be subject to arbitration. In other cases, however, it is up to courts to craft the appropriate rules. The recent decision of *TELUS Communications Inc v Wellman* shows that the question of what rules are appropriate can attract significant disagreement. In a 5-4 split decision, the majority of the Supreme Court of Canada held that valid arbitration clauses in contracts should generally be given effect and that persons with such contracts should not be included in class proceedings.
- **Despite Heller Decision, Superior Court Affirms Preference for Enforcing Arbitration Agreements** – A recent decision of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice affirms the preference of Ontario courts for enforcing arbitration provisions between parties to commercial agreements.
- **Justice Perell Stays Proposed Class Proceeding against Uber, in Favour of Arbitration in the Netherlands - Heller v Uber Technologies Inc.** – A long-standing issue in Canadian class actions law relates to the ability of parties to contract out of class actions and instead require that any disputes be submitted to arbitration. For class counsel and class members, such clauses are anathema, representing an attempt by sophisticated organizations to thwart class actions by requiring individual claims to proceed to arbitration. For businesses, such clauses have significant value; they can result in individual cases being resolved quickly and efficiently, without the complications and attendant costs of a class action.
- **Ontario Updates International Commercial Arbitration Act** – Ontario's new legislation governing international commercial arbitration, the *International Commercial Arbitration Act, 2017*, came into force on March 22, 2017, replacing the *International Commercial Arbitration Act* previously in place.

SELECT NEWS ARTICLES

- **Lenczner Slaght Recognized in Chambers Global 2025** – Canada's leading litigation firm and its expert litigators are recognized for the 23rd consecutive year in world-renowned directory, Chambers Global.
- **Cassie Chaloux Joins Lenczner Slaght's Construction and Infrastructure Team** – Canada's leading litigation firm grows its expert Construction and Infrastructure Group with the addition of exceptional new associate, Cassie Chaloux.
- **Lenczner Slaght Named One of the Best Law Firms in Canada** – Lenczner Slaght is proud to announce its recognition as Law Firm of the Year in Corporate and Commercial Litigation and Medical Negligence in the inaugural edition of *Best Law Firms in Canada*.

- **Chambers Canada Recognizes Lenczner Slaght's Deep Bench of Expert Litigators** – Canada's leading litigation firm continues to advance their position in the latest edition of the world-renowned directory.
- **Chambers Global Recognizes Lenczner Slaght for the 22nd Consecutive Year** – Canada's leading litigation firm and its expert litigators are increasingly recognized in the latest edition of world-renowned directory, Chambers Global.
- **Chambers Canada Recognizes Lenczner Slaght as a Top-Tier Litigation Firm** – Canada's leading litigation firm and its expert litigators continue to be recognized by world-renowned directory, Chambers & Partners.
- **Lenczner Slaght Litigators Recognized as Best Lawyers in Canada** – In the latest edition of *Best Lawyers in Canada*, 41 of our expert litigators are recognized for their expertise across 24 practice areas. The following lawyers have also been recognized as "Lawyer of the Year" for receiving the highest overall peer-feedback in their practice areas in Toronto.
- **Lenczner Slaght's Litigation Excellence Recognized in 2023 Lexpert Directory** – Following comprehensive peer review surveys and interviews with senior members in the legal profession, the 2023 *Canadian Legal Lexpert Directory* has recognized 31 of the firm's expert litigators for their experience, knowledge, and precision, with 108 rankings spanning 17 practice areas.
- **Chambers Global Recognizes Lenczner Slaght for the 21st Consecutive Year** – Canada's leading litigation firm continues to be recognized for its dispute resolution expertise by world-renowned directory, Chambers Global, alongside notable full-service, national, and international firms.
- **A New Ball Game: Parties in Commercial Disputes Are Turning More to Alternative Dispute Resolution** – In the latest Lexpert Special Edition on Litigation, Larry Thacker considers the increasing willingness to use arbitration and other alternative dispute resolution mechanisms to settle commercial disputes.
- **Lenczner Slaght Ranked Band 1 in Chambers Canada for 5th Consecutive Year** – Canada's leading litigation firm and its expert litigators continue to be recognized in the latest edition of world-renowned directory, Chambers & Partners.
- **Lenczner Slaght Ranked for the 20th Consecutive Year in Chambers Global** – Canada's leading litigation firm continues to be recognized for its dispute resolution expertise by world-renowned directory, Chambers Global, alongside notable full-service, national, and international firms.
- **Lenczner Slaght's 21st Year at the Centre of the Lexpert Bull's Eye** – Lenczner Slaght continues to be recognized as the #1 firm in Toronto for Litigation and Commercial Litigation by the 2022 Lexpert®/American Lawyer Guide to the Leading 500 Lawyers in Canada.
- **Lenczner Slaght Litigators Appointed to The Advocates' Society's Leadership** – We are pleased to announce that Brian Kolenda, Andrew Parley, Shara Roy, Nina Bombier, Larry Thacker and Anne Posno have been elected to prominent leadership positions at The Advocates' Society, a preeminent organization dedicated to promoting effective advocacy and access to justice.
- **Chambers Canada Recognizes Lenczner Slaght's Litigation Excellence** – Canada's leading litigation firm and its expert litigators continue to advance their position in the latest edition of world-renowned

directory, Chambers & Partners.

- **The 2021 Lexpert Directory Recognizes 30 Lenczner Slaght Lawyers** – An increasing number of our expert litigators are recognized by their peers as the foremost practitioners in their fields.
- **Lenczner Slaght Achieves 20 Years at the Centre of the Lexpert Bull's-Eye** – The 2021 Lexpert®/American Lawyer Guide to the Leading 500 Lawyers in Canada continues to recognize Lenczner Slaght as the #1 firm in Toronto for Litigation and Commercial Litigation.
- **Who's Who Legal: Canada 2020 Recognizes Lenczner Slaght's Expert Litigators** – In the latest edition of Who's Who Legal: Canada, Lenczner Slaght achieves eight recognitions in the Litigation chapter, with a total of ten expert litigators ranked.
- **Lenczner Slaght Recognized as a Top-Tier Litigation Firm by Chambers Canada** – Canada's leading litigation firm and its expert litigators continue to be recognized by world-renowned directory, Chambers & Partners.
- **Best Lawyers in Canada Recognizes Lenczner Slaght's Litigation Expertise** – Lenczner Slaght is proud to announce that 33 of our expert litigators are recognized in *Best Lawyers in Canada 2021*. Our lawyers received a total of 128 rankings, up from 100 in 2020.
- **Lexpert Highlights Lenczner Slaght's Professional Excellence** – Lenczner Slaght's litigators continue to be recognized by their peers as the foremost practitioners in their fields.
- **Chambers Global Recognizes Lenczner Slaght for the 18th Consecutive Year** – Canada's leading litigation firm continues to be recognized for its dispute resolution expertise by world-renowned directory, Chambers Global, alongside notable full-service, national, and international firms.
- **Lenczner Slaght's 19th Year at the Centre of the Lexpert Bull's-Eye** – Canada's leading litigation firm continues to be recognized as the #1 firm in Toronto for Litigation and Commercial Litigation by the 2020 Lexpert®/American Lawyer Guide to the Leading 500 Lawyers in Canada.
- **Canadian lawyers have an edge in international arbitration, says Ian Binnie** – Ian Binnie was quoted in the Law Times article *Canadian lawyers have an edge in international arbitration, says Ian Binnie* on November 27, 2019. The article is based on Ian's recent panel discussion at the inaugural Canadian Litigation Forum on the current landscape of international arbitration.
- **Chambers Canada Recognizes Lenczner Slaght as a Top-Tier Litigation Firm** – Canada's leading litigation firm and its expert litigators continue to advance their position in the latest edition of world-renowned directory, Chambers Canada, with nine new rankings.
- **Best Lawyers in Canada Recognizes Lenczner Slaght with 100 Rankings** – In the latest edition of *Best Lawyers in Canada*, 28 Lenczner Slaght lawyers earned a total of 100 rankings, up from 86 in 2019.
- **Arbitration Advantages** – Nina Bombier is quoted in the Lexpert article *Arbitration Advantages* on November 30, 2018. This article discusses the value of arbitration.
- **Lenczner Slaght Litigators Lead in the 2018 Lexpert/ALM 500 Rankings** – Canada's leading litigation firm is one of two firms with the highest number of lawyers ranked in Corporate Commercial Litigation in the 2018 Lexpert®/American Lawyer Guide to the Leading 500 Lawyers

in Canada.

- **Arbitral Authority** – Lawrence Thacker is quoted in the 2017 Lexpert/ROB Special Edition: Canada's Leading Litigation Lawyers article *Arbitral Authority* where he discusses the implications of the ruling in *Teal Cedar Products v. BC* and the scope of appeals stemming from arbitral decisions.
- **Lenczner Slaght Ranked Among the Best** – Almost half of the leading litigation firm's lawyers are recognized in the *Best Lawyers in Canada*.
- **Lenczner Slaght is the Unrivaled Litigation Boutique in Chambers Global 2017** – The firm's litigators earned the top rankings alongside full-service, national and international counterparts in the litigation categories.
- **Lenczner Slaght lawyers recognized in Lexpert ALM 500 Guide** – Canada's leading litigation boutique leads all firms with 10 lawyers ranked in litigation practice areas in the 2017 Lexpert®/American Lawyer Guide to the Leading 500 Lawyers in Canada ("ALM 500")
- **Lenczner Slaght Scores Bull's Eye in Leading 500 Lawyers in Canada** – Canada's leading litigation practice once again tops the list of litigation firms in the 2016 Lexpert®/American Lawyer Guide to the Leading 500 Lawyers in Canada.
- **Lenczner Slaght Leads in Cross-Border Litigation** – Recognition of Lenczner Slaght lawyers as Canada's Leading Cross-Border Litigators Endures
- **Lenczner Slaght Lawyers Repeatedly Ranked Among the Best** – Half of the firm's lawyers are ranked as leading practitioners and three named "Lawyer of the Year" in the latest Best Lawyers in Canada Directory.
- **20 Lenczner Slaght Lawyers Recognized in 2015 Lexpert Directory** – Recognized by Canadian Legal Lexpert® Directory as leading practitioners.
- **Lenczner Slaght - "a fortress inhabited by litigation royalty"** – 13 Lenczner Slaght lawyers recognized in the 2015 Benchmark Litigation Directory.
- **Lenczner Slaght Remains at the Centre of the Lexpert Bull's-Eye** – Canada's leading litigation practice is once again ranked #1 in The 2015 Lexpert/American Lawyer Guide to the Leading 500 Lawyers in Canada.
- **A Leader in Cross-Border Litigation** – 14 Lenczner Slaght litigators ranked in Lexpert 2014 Guide to the Leading U.S/Canada Cross-Border Litigation Lawyers in Canada.
- **Lexpert Magazine - Big Deals - Union Carbide v. Bombardier Inc.** – William McDowell, Jon Laxer and Katie Petney, counsel to the intervenor in *Union Carbide v. Bombardier Inc.* were mentioned in Lexpert Magazine's July 2014 issue.
- **Lenczner Slaght Increases Rankings in 2014 Lexpert Directory** – Canada's leading litigation practice continues to add new names to the list.
- **Lenczner Slaght is at the Centre of the Lexpert Bull's-Eye** – Canada's leading litigation practice is once again ranked #1 in *The 2014 Lexpert/American Lawyer Guide to the Leading 500 Lawyers in Canada*.
- **Lenczner Slaght in Top Tier and 11 Lawyers Ranked as Stars** – Canada's leading litigation practice also wins two national impact cases.